

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/032,610 01/02/2002		/2002	Kristin Gallina Lovejoy	20070461	6001		
25537 VERIZON	7590	10/23/2008		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PATENT M.	ANAGEMEN'	OYEBIS	OYEBISI, OJO O				
1515 N. COU SUITE 500	JRTHOUSE F	ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2909				3696		
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
				10/23/2008	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

patents@verizon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/032,610	LOVEJOY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
OJO O. OYEBISI	3696		

	OJO O. OYEBISI	3696	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ta Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b. They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NO) v);	ΓE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-31.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	stice of Annual will not	he entored
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Ella Colbert/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3696	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant was making the same argument that was already addressed in the last office action lated 07/10/08. Please see the response to the argument section of the last office action. The applicant further argued in substance that the last office action should not have been made final since the examiner had introduced 101 and 112 2nd rejections. The examiner respectfully submits that the office action was made final because the art rejection under 103 (a) remains unchanged. The examiner has not introduced a new art in the rejection of the claimed subject matter. That is to say, the examiner used the same art rejection in the final rejection as the non-final rejection. Further, the examiner responded to the applicant's argument regarding the 103 (a) art rejection, the argument was considered but was not persuasive.